

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/900,515	09/900,515 07/06/2001		Michael Freed	NEXSI-01011US0	4141
28863	7590	12/07/2006		EXAMINER	
SHUMAKI 8425 SEASO		EFFERT, P. A.	MOORTHY, ARAVIND K		
SUITE 105	JIISTAK	·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ST. PAUL, MN 55125				, 2131	
				DATE MAILED: 12/07/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination  FREED ET AL.					
	09/900,515						
		Art Unit					
	Aravind Moorthy	2131					
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC							
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre-A	ppeal Brief Review					
•							
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Bri	ef Request for Review filed No	v. 7, 2006.					
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Req reason(s):</li> </ol>	uest is improper and a confere	nce will not be held for the following					
The request does not include	t been filed concurrent with the e reasons why a review is appro- cluded with the Pre-Appeal Bri	priate.					
The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm	continues to run from the recei nunication, if no Notice of Appea	pt date of the Notice of Appeal or from all has been received.					
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at least in accordance with 37 CFR 41. orn mailing this decision, or the e of appeal, whichever is greate FR 1.136 based upon the mail	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been at one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period r. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date					
☐ The panel has determined to Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-8, 11-35 and Claim(s) withdrawn from consider	nd 37-53.	ollows:					
3. Allowable application – A cor Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time.	nference has been held. The re n on the merits remains closed	ection is withdrawn and a Notice of No further action is required by					
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A confination will be mailed. No further action	erence has been held. The reje on is required by applicant at th	ection is withdrawn and a new Office is time.					
•							
All participants:		(///					
(1) Aravind Moorthy.	(3) <u>Eddie C.</u>	Lee. W					
	\ /***						

(2) Chris Revak.